



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

09/490,017

01/21/2000

Christopher W Jones

CD96031

6602

60909

7590

12/09/2010

CYPRESS SEMICONDUCTOR CORPORATION  
198 CHAMPION COURT  
SAN JOSE, CA 95134-1709

EXAMINER

TRIMMINGS, JOHN P

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2117

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

12/09/2010

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/490,017	<b>Applicant(s)</b> JONES, CHRISTOPHER W	
	<b>Examiner</b> JOHN P. TRIMMINGS	<b>Art Unit</b> 2117	

**All Participants:**

(1) JOHN P. TRIMMINGS.

(2) Paul Krueger.

**Date of Interview:** 1 November 2011

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Time:** Various

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

n/a

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/John P Trimmings/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2117

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The applicant has not replied to a letter of Show Cause, dated 9/16/2010, with an expiration of response time to 10/16/2010 as of this date, 11/1/2010. The examiner has placed a telephone call on 10/27/2010 to the attorney of record, Paul Krueger, who did not provide any information other than a referral to Larry Johnson at 408-545-7194. Attorney Larry Johnson, in a voicemail to the examiner on 10/29/2010, has indicated that he is exploring options as to reviving the case, among other cases on his docket. The examiner, in another telephone voicemail to Mr. Johnson on 11/1/2010, requested that he return a call to the examiner for further information as to the options open to the applicant. The examiner's further recommendation will be that a call to the USPTO OPLA, either Ken Schor or Raul Tamayo at 571-272-7728 may be of help to Mr. Johnson in determining options available, but as of today, the case will be abandoned in conformance with the MPEP.

/JPT/